SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_51018**
Title of the Manuscript:	**LIFECYLE EVALUATION OF THE NUTRITIONAL BENEFITS AND BIOSAFETY OF SNAIL Archachatina marginata (Swainson, 1821) (Gastropoda: Pulmonata: Achatinidae)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 8 it should be of the speices and not breed , which comprises of Line 34 it should be who were regarded as the poor Line 40 it should be speices Line 45 after climate change put coma Line 51 delete to Table 3 there is no super script for live weight and SEM Table 4 check the superscript on LDL ,FFA which are not correct Table 5 check the superscript for Ni ,Cd, Mn Line 269 seprate the scientific name of snail	
Minor REVISION comments	Very minor correction	
Optional/General comments	Publishable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Eze Joan Nne Amaka*
Department, University & Country	*Federal College of Education, Nigeria*